

**Town of Garner
Town Council Minutes
August 2, 2010**

The Garner Town Council met in regular session at 7:00 P.M. on Monday, August 2, 2010 in the Town Council Meeting Room

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: Mayor Williams, Mayor Pro-Tem Behringer, Council Members Kennedy, Johns and Marshburn and Singleton

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Hardin Watkins, Town Manager; Rodney Dickerson, Assistant Town Manager; William E. Anderson, Town Attorney; Brad Bass, Planning Director; Tony Beasley, Economic Development Director

CALL MEETING TO ORDER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Mayor Williams

INVOCATION: Deidra Ortega, Exchange Student from Mexico. Miss Ortega said she is in the United States to see how government works and has enjoyed her stay here.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA - AS PRESENTED

Motion: Marshburn
Second: Behringer
Vote: Unanimous

RECOGNITIONS

Donnie Woods, SEA AWARD for Service Excellence Award for Employees
Presenter: Hardin Watkins, Town Manager

Mr. Woods administered CPR on a young man that collapsed while playing basketball at the Avery Street Gym.

Hnuat Kpa, Public Works Department, USA Citizenship
Presenter: Mayor Williams

Mr. Kpa is a Town employee, who received his citizenship in July, 2010.

PETITIONS AND COMMENTS

Mr. Anthony Cox, 643 Springview Trail, reported the swimming and wading pools at Garner Townes have been neglected and out of use for years. The swimming pool is partially filled with stagnant trash and water and breeding mosquitoes; large frogs reside in the pool. The fence has widely spaced vertical openings and is in disrepair. Mr. Cox presented photographs to the Council and said he would appreciate any help. This matter was turned over to Mr. Dickerson.

Eva Meletz, also a Garner Townes resident, reiterated Mr. Cox's concerns.

CONSENT

Minutes from July 20, 2010

Action: Minutes Approved

Authorize Execution of Service Agreement with Funded Agencies

Following budget adoption, agreements were prepared and forwarded to each of the agencies receiving appropriations this year. Once approved, the Town will make payment to the organization in accordance with the schedule set out in the agreement. An agreement has been executed and returned by About Face, II, Inc., Garner Area Ministries, Garner Magnet HS Marching Band, Hospice, and Resources for Seniors.

Action: Authorize Execution of Contracts

Resolution to Investigate Annexation Petitions for Greenfield 40 Associates, LLC, ANX-10-06 and ANX-10-07

Greenfield 40 Associates, LLC has submitted annexation petitions for Lot 9B, Greenfield South located at 4001 Waterfield Drive and 100 Laurensfield Court. This Resolution authorizes investigation of the sufficiency of these petitions.

Action: Adoption of Resolution No. (2010) 2060

Resolution to Set a Public Hearing on Annexation Petitions for Greenfield 40 Associates, LLC, ANX-10-06 and ANX-10-07

Greenfield 40 Associates, LLC has submitted annexation petitions for Lot 9B, Greenfield South located at 4001 Waterfield Drive and property located at 100 Laurensfield Court. The petitions are sufficient under state law to proceed with the annexation process. This Resolution sets August 31, 2010 as the public hearing date on the annexation.

Action: Adoption of Resolution No. (2010) 2061

Garner Road Grant Curb and Gutter Improvements Project

On July 23, 2010, four bids were received for the Garner Road Grant Curb and Gutter Project. The low bidder was Narron Contracting at \$79,782.50 which is below the Engineering estimate of \$91,475. Narron Contracting has completed several projects for the Town, most recently the Central Loop Sidewalk Project which the Engineering Department reports satisfactory work.

Action: Bid Awarded to Narron Contracting in the amount of \$79,782.50

Ordinance Amending 2010 - 2011 Operating Budget

This Ordinance amendment: 1) provides funding for the Garner Road Curb and Gutter Project Contract. During the 2010-2011 budget process, a decision package for \$60,000 was approved to supplement the original \$100,000 estimated cost and provide funding for the Town to install curb and gutter. Based on bids received for the project plus an additional amount to increase the quantity of top soil required and contingencies the project cost is estimated to be \$90,000. Additional funding of \$30,000 is required to move forward with the project and 2) provides \$10,000 funding for assistance with preparing documentation for submittal of the Tiger II Discretionary Grant proposal.

Action: Adoption of Ordinance No. (2010) 3592

Motion: Singleton
Second: Behringer
Vote: Unanimous

END OF CONSENT

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Ordinance to Extend Corporate Limits, Greenfield 40 Associates, ANX-10-01

Presenter: Brad Bass

Greenfield 40 Associates, LLC has petitioned to annex a 14.2 acre lot located on Waterfield Drive in the Greenfield Business Park. The legal requirements to proceed with this annexation have been met. If adopted, the Ordinance authorizes the annexation to be effective August 31, 2010.

Mayor Williams opened the public hearing and asked for questions or comments. Hearing none, Mayor Williams closed the public hearing.

Action: Adoption of Ordinance No. (2010) 3593

Motion: Singleton

Second: Marshburn

Vote: Unanimous

Ordinance Amending the Unified Development Ordinance

Presenter: Brad Bass

Mr. Bass began presentation of the staff report by stating all attachments are considered part of this application and should be deemed a part of this record. This matter concerns a text amendment to the Unified Development Ordinance that would allow the operation of a disabled or handicapped home at 117 Broughton Street. The change specifically permits up to eight disabled or handicapped residents at 117 Broughton Street and allows an exception to the half-mile separation requirement, for so long as the residence at that address is used as a disabled or handicapped home for up to eight disabled or handicapped residents and is chartered by Oxford House, Inc. for that purpose. Staff is initiating this text amendment because (1) Oxford House has to date declined to seek a text-amendment on its own behalf for the Broughton Street home as a special case; (2) the reasonable accommodation provided by the Town by adopting a new land-use category in 2005 for "disabled or handicapped homes" for Oxford-House type uses in general is unacceptable to Oxford House; (3) the reasonable accommodation provided by the Town by allowing the Broughton Street home from 2004 to the present to operate with up to eight residents as an exception to the half-mile separation requirement requires final action by the Town Council so that the accommodation is consistent with the UDO; and (4) to date, the Town Council has not formally considered through the text-amendment process Oxford House's request for a reasonable accommodation for the Broughton Street home as a special case.

The change specifically permits up to eight disabled or handicapped residents at 117 Broughton Street and an exception to the half-mile separation requirement. As the Council evaluates the proposed amendment, there are several basic questions the council will need to evaluate as it considers an ordinance amendment:

(1) "reasonable" (taking into account such matters as any financial and administrative burdens upon the Town and/or whether the proposed amendment would constitute a substantial or fundamental alteration of the Town's UDO provisions regulating group-living arrangements);

(2) "necessary" (in the sense of providing a direct or meaningful therapeutic amelioration of the effects of alcoholism (and/or drug addiction) and;

(3) afford recovering alcoholics and/or drug addicts (like those in the Broughton Street home) equal (not greater than non-handicapped persons) opportunity to use and enjoy housing in residential districts in the Town, like the R-12 or similar residential districts?

If the Town Council concludes that based on the information presented elements (1) through (3) above have been satisfied then the reasonable accommodation provided for by the text amendment should be granted under the Fair Housing Act.

After receiving public comment Council should close the hearing and refer the matter to the Planning Commission which meets on August 9, 2010 upon which time they will review and formulate a recommendation for the Council's consideration.

Mayor Williams opened the public hearing and asked for questions or comments.

Council Member Johns asked when Oxford House opened was it originally for handicapped residents. Mr. Bass said he understood they started operation in 2004 and did not seek any town input. Staff understands the purpose of the home is to provide services for people recovering from alcohol addiction to transition into society; the Federal government deems this as a disability.

Mayor Pro Tem Behringer asked if anyone representing Oxford House was present. No one came forward. Then she asked if we have ever had anyone from Oxford house to be present when we have addressed this issue in the past. Mr. Bass said there was a Board of Adjustment case where in 2005 we ruled the Oxford House did not meet the current UDO standards for group care homes. Ultimately that decision was appealed to the Board of Adjustment at which time Oxford House was represented by counsel. Mayor Pro Tem Behringer said that is disappointing since it is reaching such a stage of being a serious matter. Mayor Pro Tem Behringer noted in the package that Ms. Gibson operates several houses on behalf of Oxford House and the landlord is Mr. Richard Berry. Is it a common practice that several Oxford House facilities are owned by one common landlord or is that unique to this situation. Mr. Bass said he has not done detailed research in that matter but he understands that Mr. Berry has some arrangements with the Oxford House at other locations.

Council Member Kennedy asked if Oxford House has specifically asked for an exemption or modification to the UDO? Mr. Bass said they have not. Mr. Kennedy asked if they have consistently exceeded six residents. Mr. Bass said there is evidence the number of residents has fluctuated over time between six and eight residents. Mr. Kennedy said from reading the material, it appears the Town made Oxford House aware from the very beginning what the requirements were in order to locate such as home. Mr. Bass said it was discovered from a complaint and advised Oxford House representatives that the use as we understood at that time did not meet the current regulations of the UDO. Do you know if Oxford House is represented by anyone that would understand the standard procedures to follow in order to ask for a variance or an amendment? Mr. Bass said it would appear to him they had ample legal representation which should have looked at all avenues as far as options are concerned. Mr. Kennedy asked if Oxford House has informed the Town of the number of applications seeking residence there and have they given evidence of additional applications waiting to live in that house. Has Oxford House requested another location in Garner over the past five or six years which they could have used for the overflow? Mr. Kennedy asked the average number of residents over the time they have been operating. Mr. Bass said there has been some fluctuation over time based on reports that were given on a yearly basis and what we have found is an overall range of 6.3 to 6.8 from 2005

through 2009; no 2010 data has been available at this point. Mr. Kennedy asked if Oxford House has been made aware of this hearing tonight. Mr. Bass said they were notified. Mr. Kennedy asked if they have acknowledged receipt of such notice. Mr. Bass said it is his understanding they have acknowledged receipt of such notice in a letter. Mr. Kennedy said it appears to him that a lack of interest on their part indicates there is no need for the eight folks they are requesting. Mr. Kennedy asked the size of the house and how many bedrooms. Mr. Bass said the 2100 square foot home has three bedrooms. In the UDO, the term "family" is referenced showing that the Town is trying to maintain semblance of family in residential neighborhoods. He asked if there are ordinances where we try to regulate the number of families that live in rental units. Mr. Bass said it does not have that information, but added that we have a definition of how many individuals constitute a family. Mr. Kennedy asked if we are singling out Oxford House in trying to use them as a definition of what a family should be. We have had similar guidelines in the UDO for years regulating group or family care homes; we came to the realization in 2005 that we needed to have another special home defined and set up standards, which we did. This facility was permissible in residential district with up to six individuals and meeting a half mile separation. Mr. Kennedy asked if there are other similar facilities in Garner. Mr. Bass said Attachment 5 in the package highlights the locations of family care homes and group homes; there is no another Oxford House type operation currently in the community.

Council Member Marshburn noted also that Oxford House was not represented at this meeting. He asked Mr. Bass if it his understanding that Oxford House takes the position their facility complies with the Federal Fair Housing act and as a result it essentially "trumps" other issues like local ordinances. Mr. Nick Herman responded to this question by stating that Oxford House is saying that Federal Law requires they have the opportunity for a reasonable accommodation under the Fair Housing Act. If providing that accommodation would be reasonable and necessary to create an equal housing opportunity for these types of folks; finding reasonableness and necessity does preempt local ordinances. If you were to find reasonable and necessary to create equal opportunity for housing for disabled for this particular home, then you would do so under the provision of the Fair Housing Act.

Council Member Marshburn said as we consider and make amendments to the UDO will it apply to similar facilities that might want to open in the area. Mr. Bass said this particular amendment will apply to only this Oxford House facility; however others will have an opportunity to ask for reasonable accommodations.

Council Member Kennedy said in looking at this as a family atmosphere, he noted on page 50 in the package where it refers to "alteration of the zoning scheme". In this case, the zoning scheme is residential and they are trying to place a larger number of residents. This statement of the facts said while we are trying to make this look like a family, it doesn't provide for any food for residents, none of the residents are related, no personal care that a family would provide, no rehabilitation services and no professional support or supervisory personnel. The Town currently allows six residents plus two supervisors. Trying to put eight people into three bedrooms seems like trying to put a square peg in a round hole. Council Member Kennedy said the Town must provide that we met reasonable accommodation and we did that from day one by the ordinance amendment and he hopes the Judge reviewing this will look at Oxford's attempt to violate the nature of our ordinances; in affect it ends up being adverse and devalues the property.

Mr. Anderson explained the Federal law places on the Town the duty to examine whether the particular need requested is reasonable or necessary. The fact is that this presentation has been put together by staff based on information from the record in this case and information from Oxford House. Mr. Anderson asked the Council to think of this as a body of evidence, and an objective presentation as if Oxford House had requested this and try to evaluate in that sense as you work on this over the next coming weeks. The fact there is federal law involved changes the dynamics of how we regularly do business. Mr. Anderson suggested the Council read all of the material to determine if this body of evidence shows the request is necessary or reasonable. If eight residents is not necessary, what number would be? These options will be presented to you in an agenda package when the Council considers the ordinance. Staff will provide several options to think about.

Mayor Williams asked if anyone was present from Oxford House or the Berry family.

Mr. Anderson noted for the record that Mr. Heavener representing Oxford House was present, but not participating.

Mr. Herman echoed Mr. Anderson's comments and urged the Council to review the evidence on the question of reasonableness and necessity for what is being asked and to not consider the fact there is litigation. The litigation is not relevant to the questions before you and has nothing to do with whether or not this request is reasonable or necessary.

Hearing no additional comments, Mayor Williams closed the hearing.

Action: Refer to Planning Commission

Town of Garner Transportation Plan and Garner Streetscape Plan

Presenter: Brad Bass

The purpose of this hearing is to receive questions and comments on the 2010 Transportation Plan and Garner Streetscape Plan. The 2010 Transportation Plan will provide vision and a set of recommended strategic transportation improvements needed in the community that will be used as a guide in future budget and capital improvement program decisions by the Town. The Garner Streetscape Plan will provide a vision and a framework for the future development of the Downtown Area which will be achieved by identifying five gateway areas leading into Downtown with specific recommended design improvements for each area.

Council Member Singleton asked how much time was spent studying the traffic situation at the schools. Mr. Lane said they looked at areas adjacent to schools, but probably not a lot of time doing what you are talking about. Council Member Singleton said North Garner Middle and East Garner Middle schools have both experienced some traffic issues. He suggested Mr. Lane visit this area in the morning and watch kids walk to school.

Mr. John Hodges, on behalf of Garner Revitalization Association (GRA), expressed their support, particularly the streetscape plan. Several GRA members participated in the planning meetings. He said the Transportation and Streetscape Plan was in progress when they began the Historic Downtown Garner Plan. They were careful to assure all plans can work together. Mr. Hodges said they are facing challenges with conditions of existing sidewalks on Main Street; some of the sidewalks are in poor condition. Any consideration to sidewalks in the Main Street area would be greatly appreciated.

Mayor Williams opened the public hearing and asked for questions or comments. Hearing none, Mayor Williams closed the public hearing.

Action: Received Public Comment

NEW/OLD BUSINESS

Proposed Sidewalk Project at Don Miller Drive

Presenter: Brad Bass

The Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO) notified member jurisdictions last month the Non-Competitive STP-DA funds that individual communities had been "banking" over the last several years needed to be obligated this year or be lost. To date Garner has accumulated \$78,000 that can be used for a bicycle, pedestrian or transit project. Project requests must be submitted to CAMPO by August 16, 2010 and approved projects must have funding obligated by September 2011. A Municipal Agreement with NCDOT is required and must be in place by December 31, 2010. Projects must be managed by the local jurisdiction and be funded locally with periodic reimbursements from NCDOT. A 20% local match is required. Staff has identified a potential sidewalk project for this funding. The project is located on the east side of Don Miller Drive and would connect sidewalks at Aversboro Elementary School to existing sidewalks along Timber Drive. The draft 2010 Transportation Plan identifies this sidewalk as a high priority. Estimated costs for the project closely align with available funding from CAMPO. The Engineering Department advises it has received requests for this sidewalk in the past from the principal of the elementary school. The sidewalk would complete a connection for pedestrians (mostly school age kids) from the Van Story Hills and Staunton Meadows Subdivisions to the elementary school.

The project consists of approximately 505 feet of new sidewalk along the east side of Don Miller Drive. Proposed improvements also include curb and gutter construction and installation of a 15 inch drainage pipe and some minor road widening on the east side of the road. The Engineering Department estimates the total cost for the project to be approximately \$96,000 (STP-DA funding \$76,800). We believe the project should come in under this estimate. The Town Engineering Department will manage the project. Staff anticipates the project design could start in January 2011 with construction starting in May 2011 and finishing in July/August 2011.

Staff requests Council authorization to move forward with this sidewalk project request to CAMPO for funding consideration under their STP-DA Non-Competitive Program. The Town's cost share is estimated to be \$19,200. According to the Finance Department funding to support Garner's cost share can be drawn from the Town's Powell Bill Reserve which as of June 30, 2010 had a balance of \$148,090.

Council Member Marshburn said this is a major walkable route in getting kids to Aversboro Elementary School. Mr. Bass said he sees children walking from Staunton Meadows, Van Story Hills and Malibu Estates.

Council Member Kennedy said this is an obvious missing link and he feels this is a perfect project and maximizes use of funds.

Council Member Singleton suggested upon completion of the project street lights need to be added. Mr. Bass agreed and said we can factor that in.

Action: Authorization of Project

Motion: Kennedy

Second: Marshburn

Vote: Unanimous

Resolutions Supporting Federal Tiger II Discretionary Grants

Presenter: Brad Bass

Staff briefed the Town Council at the July 20th meeting regarding the above referenced matter. The grants are referred to as funding for "Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery" or Tiger discretionary grants. The first round of Tiger grants has concluded and now the second round of Tiger grants (referred to as Tiger II) is underway. This funding is available to most units of government including local governments for highway or bridge projects, public transit projects, passenger and freight rail projects, port infrastructure and intermodal transportation facilities. At the July 20th meeting Council authorized staff to proceed with the submission of pre-application information for the two grants. One application concerns a planning grant to design improvements for downtown. The second one application concerns road improvements along the US 70 Corridor at I-40. Staff submitted the pre-application information for both grants on July 26th. At the July 20 meeting, staff advised Council we would come back at the August 2nd meeting and seek authorization to submit both grant applications and a formal commitment from the Town for a local match for each grant. The suggested local match for the downtown grant is \$480,000 (40% match). The suggested local match for the US 70 Corridor improvements is \$3,240,000 (40% match). The deadline for the grant applications is August 23, 2010.

I. Grant for US 70/White Oak/Jones Sausage Road Improvements

This road project qualifies under the rural category outlined in the Tiger II grant information. The project includes the design and construction of improvements consisting of road widening (additional lanes), intersection improvements at White Oak and US 70 and I-40 ramp improvements with signalization at US 70. A significant amount of analysis and preliminary design has already been performed on this project previously with NC DOT that greatly assists us in meeting the time requirements of the grant.

The estimated project cost is \$8.1 million (includes federal NEPA requirements and contract administration). This grant assumes any necessary right-of-way will be provided by the affected property owner(s).

2. Grant for designing improvements recommended in Historic Downtown Garner Plan

Under the Tiger II Planning Grant a request for funding to support the preparation and design of construction documents for the following areas.

- Montague Street to Main Street (US 70 intersection and road widening)
- Purvis Street to Main Street (US 70 intersection and road widening)
- Connector Street between Montague and Purvis Streets
- New Rand Road (US 70 to Garner Road)
- Improvements to the Benson/Road Intersection
- Sidewalks along Main Street connecting to Benson Road
- Street lighting along the US 70 corridor (NC 50 to I-40)
- Streetscape improvements along the 2 block commercial district on Main Street

Design elements include roadway widening & intersection improvements, storm drainage design, sidewalk and landscape design, traffic control, soil and erosion along with an analysis of federal environmental requirements to best position the Town should federal construction funding be sought for these improvements in the future. The project cost is estimated to be \$1.2 million. At the July 20, 2010 meeting the Council authorized staff to proceed with the submission of pre-application information for the two grants. One application concerns a planning grant to design improvements for downtown. The second application concerns road improvements along the US 70

Corridor at I-40. Staff advised they would come back at the August 2nd meeting and seek authorization to submit both grant applications and a formal commitment from the Town for a local match for each grant. A resolution authorizing the submission of each grant application and a commitment of matching local funds is in the agenda for consideration.

Council Member Kennedy asked if staff has had preliminary discussions on how we would cover this cost. Mr. Watkins said the options are a bond program, installment loan program or fund balance. Mr. Watkins said he feels with the discretionary fund on transportation, not assuming all the matching funds would be paid for by the town, there are some other partners perhaps in the private sector that may want to pay all or part of the \$3.2 million. This would be a policy decision by the Council and not a staff decision. Mr. Watkins said he will present the Council with a range of options if we are successful on either of these two grants.

Council Member Kennedy said initially we discussed the fact that on the transportation grant there is no matching requirement; however one of the best ways to enhance our grant application is to make a substantial commitment, in this case the 40%. There may be other expectations if something else comes along where we can commit similar dollars if we can get someone to committ the remaining dollars. The implications extend further than this particular project and he said he would be delighted if we were able to secure funds; this would be a real coup for the Town of Garner.

Action: Adopt of Resolution No.(2010)2062 Supporting the Tiger II Planning Grant

Motion: Kennedy
Second: Behringer
Vote: Unanimous

Action: Adopt of Resolution No.(2010)2063 Supporting the Tiger II Discretionary Grant

Motion: Marshburn
Second: Singleton
Vote: Unanimous

Resolution of Support for Central Garner Initiative

Presenter: Hardin

This Resolution recognizes support of the Town Council for a proactive enforcement and improvement project in the area near Main Street between Garner Road, Main Street and US 70. This has been previously referred to as the "South of Main" Initiative.

Mayor Pro Tem Behringer asked if this includes any side streets. Mr. Watkins explained that we will address complaints no matter where they are located, and said we do not have the resources to add this to the proactive area. Council Member Marshburn said there are other areas that can benefit, but after focus is finalized, we can look at that area. Mayor Pro Tem Behringer agreed, but does not want this area to get lost in the shuffle.

Mr. Watkins said another area to keep in mind for the future is Van Story Hills.

Action: Adoption of Resolution No. (2010) 2064

Motion: Behringer
Second: Marshburn
Vote: Unanimous

COMMITTEE REPORTS - NO COMMITTEE REPORTS

MANAGER REPORTS

1. Star Tracker. Mr. Watkins said staff is working on ordinance enforcement and police matters in the Van Story Hills area, but he expects this project will take some time. He noted the Vandora Springs bridge, as reported by Council Member Johns, has been resurfaced. Mayor Pro Tem Behringer said she has noticed when NCDOT mows, they don't pick up the trash first which results in small pieces of trash left behind.
2. Planning Enforcement
3. Sign Enforcement
4. Representative Deborah Ross will speak at the Mid-day Rotary meeting. Mr. Watkins invited Council Members to attend.
5. John Hodges has moved his office to 111 Main Street. Due to the closing of West District station, more room was needed at East District station.
6. Garner was featured in the latest edition of Triangle East Magazine.

ATTORNEY REPORTS - NONE

COUNCIL REPORTS

Mayor Williams

- He reported National Night Out tomorrow night at Target in the White Oak Shopping Center.

Council Member Marshburn

- He commended the Planning Department for informational material about the Vandora Springs/Buffaloe Road roundabout.
- He announced the information about Garner on the Visit Raleigh website.
- He asked if we have formally responded to Assistant Chief Matt Poole on his e-mail about improving communications. Mr. Marshburn said he would like to see bi-monthly reports from the Fire Department.

Mayor Pro Tem Behringer

- She echoed Council Member Marshburn's comments on the Visit Raleigh video.
- She reported broken glass on the sidewalk at Avery Street and Garner Road. The glass was caused by a dump truck driving on the property. There are broken beer bottles on the sidewalk on the next block.
- In the area of the 500 block of W. Garner Road at Avery Street adjacent to the Town's property, the sidewalk gets covered with red mud when it rains. Perhaps this could be prevented if there was some ground cover. The same situation is happening at the houses near the Oddity Shop.
- She reported an 18 wheeler truck parked on Penny Street. She said Penny Street is not suitable for that size vehicle.

Council Member Johns

- He asked the status of the sidewalk planned at TT&E. Mr. Bass reported there have been issues between the contractor and NCDOT. Mr. Bass plans to contact the District Engineer to work out a solution.

Council Member Singleton

- He asked the status of the School Acres Sidewalk Project. Mr. Bass reported a walk through tomorrow. He will report the findings to the Manager.
- He asked why it takes the City of Raleigh so long to patch areas where utility work has been done. He said he reported a situation where the utility work had been done in May and the patching has yet to be completed. Mr. Cox said they usually respond quicker, but there were other problems with the work done in May.

ADJOURNMENT 9:30 PM

Motion: Kennedy
Vote: Unanimous

Respectfully Submitted

Judy Bass, Town Clerk